| 1 | STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION |
| 3 | |
| 4 | July 8, 2015 - 10:04 a.m. NHPUC JUL23'15 AM 9:30 |
| 5 | Concord, New Hampshire |
| 6 | |
| 7 | RE: DE 15-244 UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.: |
| 8 | Annual Stranded Cost Recovery and External Delivery Charge Reconciliation |
| 9 | and Rate Filing. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Sandy Deno, Clerk |
| 14 | |
| 15 | APPEARANCES: Reptg. Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.: |
| 16 | Gary Epler, Esq. |
| 17 | Reptg. PUC Staff: |
| 18 | Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Grant Siwinski, Electric Division |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 |
| 24 | |

| 1 | | | |
|----|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 2 | | INDEX | |
| 3 | | | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | WITNESS PANEL: | TODD M. BOHAN LINDA S. McNAMARA | |
| 5 | | HINDA S. MCNAMARA | |
| 6 | Direct examination | by Mr. Epler | 5 |
| 7 | Cross-examination | by Ms. Amidon | 7 |
| 8 | Interrogatories by | Commissioner Scott | 16 |
| 9 | | * * * | |
| 10 | CLOSING STATEMENTS | BY: | PAGE NO. |
| 11 | | Ms. Amidon | 20 |
| 12 | | Mr. Epler | 20 |
| 13 | | * * * | |
| 14 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 15 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 16 | | til Energy Systems, Inc. Annual onciliation and Rate Filing, who | |
| 17 | con | sists of the cover letter, the ition, proposed tariff pages, ar | |
| 18 | the | Testimony and Attachments of d M. Bohan and Linda S. McNamara | |
| 19 | | -17-15) | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |

1 PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good morning, everyone. We're here in Docket DE 15-244, which is Unitil Energy Systems' reset and reconciliation of its Stranded Cost Charge and its External Delivery Charge.

We do have one procedural issue we're going to deal with. But, before we do that, let's take appearances.

MR. EPLER: Good morning. Gary Epler, appearing on behalf of Unitil Energy Systems. Thank you.

MS. AMIDON: Suzanne Amidon, for

Commission Staff. Thank you.

issue, I think you probably have talked about already, is that the Order of Notice contained two different dates and times for the hearing. We're here for only one of them so far. The other one is tomorrow, at nine o'clock. What I think we'd like to do, and if it's okay with you, and you may have already discussed this, is leave the record open officially and have someone, possibly Ms. Amidon, available tomorrow morning at nine o'clock should anyone show up, thinking that the hearing was tomorrow. And, then, Mr. Epler, if you could be available by telephone tomorrow morning, in the unlikely event that someone does.

| 1 | Does that work for everyone? |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. EPLER: Yes, it does. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Amidon, that |
| 4 | works for you, too? |
| 5 | MS. AMIDON: Yes. We have discussed it. |
| 6 | And, you know, the Clerk is going to help make sure that |
| 7 | Mr. Epler has the phone number, and Mr. Patnaude will be |
| 8 | available as well. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think the odds of |
| LO | that happening are really small, but just in case. |
| L1 | MS. AMIDON: The earth could reverse its |
| L2 | axis. |
| L3 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: No, that would go |
| L 4 | to the SEC. |
| L5 | All right. Anything we need to do |
| L6 | before we proceed? |
| L7 | (No verbal response) |
| L8 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Doesn't sound like |
| L9 | it. Mr. Epler, you have the floor. |
| 20 | MR. EPLER: Thank you. Before I |
| 21 | started well, since I'm starting, if we could have |
| 22 | premarked as "Exhibit" "Hearing Exhibit Number 1" the |
| 23 | binder, which contains the full filing of the Company, has |
| 24 | the cover letter, the Petition, proposed tariffs, and |

| 1 | prefiled testimony and exhibits of the two witnesses. |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Makes sense. |
| 3 | (The document, as described, was |
| 4 | herewith marked as Exhibit 1 for |
| 5 | identification.) |
| 6 | MR. EPLER: And, the Company is offering |
| 7 | a panel of two witnesses. If they can be sworn, I can |
| 8 | then proceed with the direct testimony. |
| 9 | (Whereupon <i>Todd M. Bohan</i> and |
| 10 | Linda S. McNamara were duly sworn by the |
| 11 | Court Reporter.) |
| 12 | TODD M. BOHAN, SWORN |
| | |
| 13 | LINDA S. McNAMARA, SWORN |
| 13 14 | LINDA S. MCNAMARA, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| | · |
| 14 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 14 15 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: |
| 14 15 16 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Could the witnesses please identify themselves and |
| 14 15 16 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Could the witnesses please identify themselves and their business affiliation. |
| 14 15 16 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Could the witnesses please identify themselves and their business affiliation. A. (McNamara) My name is Linda — is this on? Sorry. My |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Could the witnesses please identify themselves and their business affiliation. A. (McNamara) My name is Linda — is this on? Sorry. My name is Linda S. McNamara. I work for Unitil Service |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Could the witnesses please identify themselves and their business affiliation. A. (McNamara) My name is Linda — is this on? Sorry. My name is Linda S. McNamara. I work for Unitil Service Corp., 6 Liberty Lane, in Hampton, New Hampshire. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Could the witnesses please identify themselves and their business affiliation. A. (McNamara) My name is Linda — is this on? Sorry. My name is Linda S. McNamara. I work for Unitil Service Corp., 6 Liberty Lane, in Hampton, New Hampshire. A. (Bohan) And, I'm Todd Bohan. I'm employed as a Senior |

- [WITNESS PANEL: Bohan~McNamara] 1 If you could direct your attention to what's been premarked as "Exhibit" -- "Hearing Exhibit Number 1". 2 3 And, if you could turn to the tabs in that binder, the 4 tabs that have been marked "Exhibit LSM-1", and then 5 the schedules that follow, "LSM-1" through "Schedule 6 LSM-4". Were these prepared by you or under your 7 direction? (McNamara) Yes. 8 Α. 9 Do you have any changes or corrections? 10 (McNamara) No. Α. 11 And, if you were asked the same questions in the Q. 12 prefiled direct testimony today, would your answers be 13 the same? (McNamara) They would.
- 14
- 15 And, do you adopt this as your testimony? Q.
- 16 Α. (McNamara) I do.
- 17 Thank you. Mr. Bohan, direct your attention to the Q. 18 same Hearing Exhibit Number 1. And, if you can turn to 19 the tabs marked "Exhibit TMB-1", and then the schedules 20 that follow, "TMB-1" through "TMB-5". Were these 21 prepared by you or under your direction?
- 22 (Bohan) Yes, they were. Α.
- 23 And, do you have any changes or corrections?
- 24 (Bohan) I do not. Α.

- Q. And, if you were asked the same questions in the prefiled direct as appear in there, would your answers be the same?
- 4 A. (Bohan) Yes, it would.
- Q. And, do you adopt these as your testimony in this proceeding?
- 7 A. (Bohan) Yes, I do.

8 MR. EPLER: I have no further questions 9 and tender the witnesses for cross-examination.

10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Amidon.

MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Good morning.

WITNESS McNAMARA: Good morning.

WITNESS BOHAN: Good morning.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY MS. AMIDON:

11

12

13

14

- Q. Ms. McNamara, I'll begin with you. If you go to
 Exhibit 1, your testimony on Bates stamp 0006, let me
 know when you're there please.
- 19 A. (McNamara) I'm there.
- Q. Okay. And, at Line 4, there's a question about how the proposed Stranded Cost Charge compares to the current rate, and your answer is that "the rates are unchanged", is that right?
- 24 A. (McNamara) That is correct.

{DE 15-244} {07-08-15}

- 1 Q. Okay. And, I'm just going through some of the elements 2 that were considered in the preparation of this filing. 3 So, if I look at the next page, Bates stamp 0007, you refer on -- there's a question on Line 4 that refers to 4 5 the Settlement Agreement in Docket 11-105, which resulted in the Commission authorizing the recovery of 6 7 certain costs through the Stranded Cost Charge. 8 that right?
- 9 A. (McNamara) Yes.
- 10 Q. And, according to the testimony, the recovery of that
 11 adjustment ends this month, is that right?
- 12 A. (McNamara) Correct.

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. Thank you. If we move now to the External
 Delivery Charge. And, again, this is on Page 0008 of
 your testimony, looks like there are several elements
 in the External Delivery Charge. One looks like
 "working capital associated with" it says "Other
 Flow-Through Operating Expenses". Could you just
 briefly explain what that is?
 - A. (McNamara) In the Company's last rate case, DE 10-055, the Company was allowed to begin collecting working capital through its EDC associated with what has been termed "Other Flow-Through Operating Expenses". And, that is -- there are several cost items. The External

- Delivery Charge, base distribution, Default Service,

 for example, that the Company has for revenue buckets.

 And, there are portions, certain of those costs are

 used in the calculation of working capital that are

 collected through the EDC, and then there is another

 portion that are allocated off to base distribution not

 included in this filing.
 - Q. Thank you. So, what you're saying, and correct me if
 I'm wrong, is that the working capital that you recover
 in this, through the EDC, is only related to activities
 that the Company engages in in receiving the EDC or in
 administering the costs, is that right?
 - A. (McNamara) I believe the two costs, off the top of my head, I haven't looked at the specific line items in quite some time, but, off the top of my head, I believe the two costs that are used to determine working capital in the EDC are the EDC costs and Default Service.
- 19 Q. Okay.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

- A. (McNamara) Other items, such as, for example, SBC, base distribution and things like that are used in base.
 - Q. Thank you. And, on Line 5 of Bates stamp 0008 of your testimony, you talk about you recover also the portion of the NHPUC assessment that is attributable to

- distribution -- not "distribution", to the EDC, is that right?
 - A. (McNamara) Correct. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. And, also on that page, you mention
 an additional item, beginning at Page 0009, which is
 that the Commission had authorized the Company to
 reconcile over- and under-collections in the Vegetation
 Management Program through the EDC?
- 9 A. (McNamara) Correct.
- Q. And, in addition, this is -- the EDC is a mechanism whereby you rebate the excess Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative auction proceeds to all retail customers?
- 13 A. (McNamara) That is correct.
- 14 Thank you. I just wanted to get that on the record. Q. 15 appreciate your helping me walk through that. On Page 16 0011 of your testimony, beginning at Line 15, you state 17 the rate impacts. And, my understanding that, from 18 your -- from the qualification of your testimony, these 19 rate impacts have not changed? In other words, the rate impacts that are described in this paragraph are 20 21 the rate impacts that will be experienced by customers 22 in these various rate classes?
- 23 A. (McNamara) Correct.
- 24 Q. Thank you. And, I think you're lucky, because I think

- 1 that's all I have for you. Mr. Bohan, good morning.
- 2 A. (Bohan) Good morning.
- Q. The EDC -- well, I'm sorry. First, I wanted to talk
 about the so-called "Stranded Cost Charge" portion of
 this filing. And, if I look at Page 0050 of the
 filing, it's Bates stamp 0050, let me know when you're
 there?
- 8 A. (Bohan) I'm there.
- 9 Q. So, at Line 4, you begin a discussion about how "The
 10 Portfolio Sales Charge and Residual Contract
 11 Obligations have ended." So, at this point, what
 12 remains in the SCC?
- (Bohan) A very good question. This, going forward, you 13 14 know, the testimony might actually change here, but the 15 only thing really left now that we have that's in the 16 Stranded Cost Charge are the Hydro-Quebec payments that 17 are ongoing. And that, right now, that arrangement is 18 scheduled to continue through late -- October 2020. 19 So, all of the Residual Contract payments, Portfolio 20 Sales Charges, those have all ceased. And, that's been 21 the case for quite some time now.
- 22 Q. Yes. That's the way I read your testimony as well.
- 23 A. (Bohan) Yes.
- 24 Q. And, I notice at Line 21, on that page, there is an

- 1 indication that UPC, which is -- I'm sorry, what is
- 2 "UPC"? I forget. Unitil --
- 3 A. (Bohan) "UPC" is "Unitil Power Corp."
- 4 Q. They receive revenues for short-term sales of
- 5 transmission rights related to the Hydro-Quebec
- facility. Does Unitil receive those revenues?
- 7 A. (Bohan) Yes. And, those revenues are then used to
- 8 offset the costs that we incur for supporting those
- 9 Hydro-Quebec facilities as well.
- 10 Q. Mr. Bohan, are they fully offset? I just didn't get
- 11 that from the testimony.
- 12 A. (Bohan) No. They're not fully offset.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. (Bohan) They're only partially offset.
- 15 Q. Okay. Thank you. That was --
- 16 A. (Bohan) So, we still do incur some costs associated
- 17 with those Hydro-Quebec facilities.
- 18 Q. Thank you. If we move to Page Bates stamp 0057, it's
- 19 your testimony, Mr. Bohan.
- 20 A. (Bohan) Sure.
- 21 Q. And, let me know when you're there.
- 22 A. (Bohan) I'm there.
- 23 Q. Okay. I had a question regarding the statement that
- begins on Page 2 [Line 2?], where your testimony

- 1 references a "\$2.1 million increase"?
- 2 A. (Bohan) Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Could you explain for the record, what activity does the Company engage in, if at all, to monitor Regional Network charges?
 - (Bohan) Okay. So, one of the things we do is, and I Α. don't, myself, I don't do this on a regular basis, but I do have a colleague in the Regulatory Group that does, and she provides that information to me. is a process in New England where the revenue requirement is derived which establishes the RNS rates that companies are then charged for the transmission system in New England. And, there is a process involved in that and I receive updates on that. And, in, typically, in June of each year, we get a, you know, a presentation that spells out what the entire revenue requirement is, and then establishes what the Regional Network Service rate is going to be. And, as you can imagine, there are much larger utilities in the New England region. So, the bulk of those costs that are established in the, you know, in establishing that revenue requirement are not Unitil-specific. the larger utilities in the region, --
 - Q. Uh-huh.

- A. (Bohan) -- and they make up the bulk of those costs.

 But all the costs -- or, all the facilities then

 generate a revenue requirement that they need to

 recover in order to provide those Regional Network

 Service facilities.
 - Q. So, all those costs go in one bucket, and you can't really determine if there is, and please correct me if I'm wrong, you can't really determine, if I understand, whether one transmission project or another is contributing to the increase in those costs. Is that right?
 - A. (Bohan) I think that's generally a good characterization. We have each of the utilities' share of the revenue requirement, but we don't know which specific -- I don't know which specific projects are driving that.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

A. (Bohan) But, also, you know, just to add to that, you know, here we are again, and this is something that we've seen over the last few years, transmission costs are going up, and they're going up once again. And, you can see in my testimony here, the main driver here of the increase in costs is an increase in the RNS rate of about \$11.35 per kW year, and that's a significant

1 increase.

Also, it looks like those costs will

continue to increase over the next couple of years, and

then that increase may taper off a little bit. At

least that's what the information I've seen to date is

indicating.

- Q. But you're absolutely right, in the past few years, we've just seen one increase after another in these category of costs.
- 10 A. (Bohan) Correct. Yes.
- 11 Q. Thank you.

7

8

- 12 A. (Bohan) Yes.
- Q. And, finally, on that same page, the question that
 begins at Page 11 [Line 11?], there is a small amount,

 "\$15,000 in legal costs" that the Company is -- intends
 to recover through the EDC?
- 17 A. (Bohan) Correct.
- Q. Are these normal legal costs or is there something unusual about the legal costs?
- A. (Bohan) No. These are what I would consider "normal legal costs" that we pay to a firm for monitoring particular dockets, and representing us, as needed, in specific proceedings before the FERC.
- MS. AMIDON: Okay. Thank you. I have

1 no further questions. 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Scott. 3 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you. Good 4 morning. WITNESS BOHAN: Good morning. 5 WITNESS McNAMARA: Good morning. 6 7 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Just a few 8 questions, and they're fairly light, I guess, for want of a better word. 9 10 BY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: I'll start with Ms. McNamara. A couple times I've 11 Ο. 12 heard the word "buckets", as far as billing, which begs 13 a question to me. I'm just curious, from a customer 14 bill point-of-view, has the utility seen much, any 15 significant issues with customer confusion, you know, 16 they get -- whether it's, you know, the stranded cost 17 component of your bill or EDC component of your bill, 18 of frustration of not knowing what those are and why 19 they're there? 20 (McNamara) Personally, I am not aware of any, I'm not Α. 21 sure if Mr. Bohan is. I know that the Company has done 22 a lot over the last several years to have multiple 23 places where the customer can, you know, both on 24 websites and paper, to get information on what costs

- are presented on their bill. And, then, I believe, as

 of late, and, when I say that, I mean within the last

 year, even more has been done. I believe they're

 changing bill presentation, things like that, but I

 don't know the specifics.
 - Q. But you're understanding is, I think you would have probably have understood if it was a significant issue, if you're getting a lot of your customers are upset or are misunderstanding, I assume you --
 - A. (McNamara) Right. I have not heard any. And, I apologize for using, that is a term that we use just to represent the different revenue that comes in.

 Because, as you are aware, there are a bunch of different cost items and rate items. So, in my head, I see them falling into different buckets.
 - Q. That's a fair description, I think. So, thank you.

 And, for Mr. Bohan, you already talked about the RNS,

 which Attorney Amidon already kind of went down the

 road. So, as you mentioned, for the Regional

 Transmission costs, or you've already suggested that

 your expectation is they will continue to go up for at

 least the next few years.
 - A. (Bohan) That is correct.

24 Q. I was curious, and maybe you don't know off the top of

- 1 your head, do you have an idea, roughly, for the
- 2 average ratepayer, what percentage of the bill, you
- 3 know, is attributable to transmission costs?
- 4 A. (Bohan) If you could give us just a second?
- 5 Q. Sure. I appreciate it.
- 6 (Short pause.)

7 BY THE WITNESS:

- 8 A. (McNamara) Based on a typical bill for a residential
- 9 customer, about 14 percent of what they pay for a bill
- is based on the total EDC, not just strictly the RNS
- portion, but the total EDC rate. And, I derived that
- by looking at Bates stamp Page 0033, again, just the
- residential customer. A customer, as of August 1,
- under these proposed rates, would have a bill of
- 15 \$96.39. And, \$13.77 of that is related to the EDC. Do
- 16 you see that? Did I -- Page 0033?
- 17 BY COMMISSIONER SCOTT:
- 18 Q. Yes. I see that.
- 19 A. (McNamara) Okay.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 A. (McNamara) So, I simply took 13.77 as a percent of
- 22 \$96.39, the total bill.
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. And, if I look at --
- MR. EPLER: If I may? Sorry. Just

```
while we're on this issue, I thought it might be helpful,
 1
       if you then look at Bates stamp Page 0056, just to get a
 2
 3
       percentage -- a feel for what the percentage of the EDC is
 4
       the Regional Transmission operating expenses, you can see
 5
       that. That's Line 2 of Table 2. So, that's 21.9 million,
 6
       compared to total of EDC of 25.9.
 7
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: That was my next
 8
       statement I was going to make, actually.
 9
                         MR. EPLER: Okay. I'm sorry.
10
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: So, once again, you
11
       anticipated well. Thank you. Thank you very much.
12
       That's helpful.
13
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have no further
14
       questions. Mr. Epler, do you have any further questions
15
       for your witnesses?
16
                         MR. EPLER: No, I do not. Thank you.
17
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there anything
18
       else we need to do?
19
                         (No verbal response)
20
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We can strike the
21
       ID from Exhibit 1 and it will be a full exhibit. Yes,
22
      Ms. Amidon.
23
                                     I mean, I'm fine with doing
                         MS. AMIDON:
24
       that at this point. I just am mindful that you want to
```

{DE 15-244} {07-08-15}

```
1
       keep the record open.
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes.
 2
                                                    That's
 3
       correct. Thank you for reminding me. I will let each of
       you sum up, if you'd like. I think I know what you're
 4
 5
       going to say. But do you want to sum up, Ms. Amidon?
 6
                         MS. AMIDON: Yes.
                                            Thank you.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Go for it.
 8
                         MS. AMIDON: Staff reviewed the filing,
 9
       and the Company appropriately calculated both the SCC and
10
       the EDC in preparing the filing that it made on June 17th.
11
       It's consistent with the Settlement Agreement and with
       other orders of the Commission. And, we would recommend
12
13
       the Commission approve it for rates effective August 1, as
14
       requested by the Company.
15
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Epler.
16
                         MR. EPLER: Yes. The relief requested
17
       is in the Company's Petition, and just refer the
18
       Commission to that. Thank you very much.
19
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.
20
       noted at the beginning of the hearing, we will keep the
21
       record open, on the off chance that someone comes at nine
       o'clock tomorrow morning. At that point, we'll close the
22
23
       record and proceed. Well, we understand the desire to
```

have the rates effective for August 1st, and we will do

```
our best to make that happen. Thank you all very much.
 1
                         MS. AMIDON: Thank you.
 2
 3
                         MR. EPLER: Thank you.
                          (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
 4
                         10:26 a.m., and the record to remain
 5
                         open with the Parties reconvening at
 6
 7
                         9:00 a.m. on July 9, 2015.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```